

United States Patent and Trademark Office

W.

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/014,412	12/14/2001	Naohiro Furukawa	HITA.0132	9775
38327 75	90 10/18/2006		EXAM	INER
REED SMITH LLP			BACKER, FIRMIN	
3110 FAIRVIEW PARK DRIVE, SUITE 1400			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
FALLS CHURCH, VA 22042			3621	TALERNOMBER
			3021 DATE MAILED: 10/18/2000	_

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/014,412	FURUKAWA, NAOHIRO
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	FIRMN BACKER	3621
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet w	th the correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1: after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period versilure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNION 36(a). In no event, however, may a right apply and will expire SIX (6) MON 1. cause the application to become AF	CATION. reply be timely filed ITHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133)
Status		
Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>07 Air</u> This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This Since this application is in condition for alloware closed in accordance with the practice under Eigenstein Eigenstein.	action is non-final. nce except for formal matt	•
Disposition of Claims		
4) ☐ Claim(s) 10-22 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 10-22 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o Application Papers	wn from consideration.	
·		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomplicated any not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine	epted or b) objected to drawing(s) be held in abeyar tion is required if the drawing	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). (s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	·	• •
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in A rity documents have been u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	pplication No received in this National Stage
Attachment(s)		
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s	Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application (PTO-152)

Art Unit: 3621

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 10-22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lynn (U.S. PG Pub No. 2002/0150311) in view of Nitahara (U.S. Patent No. 6,604,108).
- 3. As per claim 10, 21 and 22 Lynn teaches a document processing system comprising an input unit for reading a storing means on a hardcopy document, a document processing information extracting unit for extracting encoded document processing information which includes a form or format information of the hardcopy document or at least one hardcopy document handling procedure to be executed in connection with the hardcopy document stored in the storing means; and a document processor for executing document handling procedure wherein the at least one hardcopy document handling procedure includes identifier document and identifying document format (see paragraphs 0022, 0023, 0024, 0026, 0027, 0028, 0030, 0046, 0047, 0048, 0059). Lynn fails to teach an invention of determining whether a format of hardcopy document is available in a database thereby executing another document handling procedure on the hardcopy document. However, Nitahara teaches an invention of determining whether a format of hardcopy document is available in a database thereby executing another document

Art Unit: 3621

handling procedure on the hardcopy document (see fig 5, col. 6 line 40 - 7 line 20). Therefore, it would be obvious to one to ordinary skills in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Lynn's invention to include Nitahara's invention of determining whether a format of hardcopy document is available in a database thereby executing another document handling procedure on the hardcopy document because this may be virtually organized by means of a database that associates file identifiers with predefined subjects and predefined relational attributes.

- 4. As per claim 11, Lynn teaches a document wherein the input means includes an image input means for reading a document image (see abstract fig 1, item 110).
- 5. As per claim 12, Lynn teaches a document processing system wherein the document processing means executes the document handling procedure by reading character strings stated on the document in accordance with the document processing information (see paragraphs 0022, 0023, 0024, 0026, 0027, 0028, 0030, 0046, 0047, 0048, 0059).
- 6. As per claim 13, Lynn teaches a document processing system wherein the item is a bill for payment or a commercial paper (see paragraphs 0022, 0023, 0024, 0026, 0027, 0028, 0030, 0046, 0047, 0048, 0059).
- 7. As per claim 14, Lynn teaches a document processing system further comprising: an embedding medium identification means for identifying whether any embedding medium

embedded with the document processing information is on the document; and document processing information database retrieving means for retrieving a set of document processing information from a document processing information database of a document provider so as to assign the set of document processing information to the document, if the embedding medium identification means does not identify any embedding medium embedded with the document processing information on the document (see paragraphs 0022, 0023, 0024, 0026, 0027, 0028, 0030, 0046, 0047, 0048, 0059).

- 8. As per claim 15, Lynn teaches a document processing system wherein said database is stored in the document processing system or in a network to which said document processing system is linked (see abstract fig 1, item 110).
- 9. As per claim 16, Lynn teaches a document processing system further comprising a document processing information defining means for defining a new set of document processing information to be applied to the document if the retrieving means can not retrieve any set document processing information in the database of the document provider (*see paragraphs* 0022, 0023, 0024, 0026, 0027, 0028, 0030, 0046, 0047, 0048, 0059).
- 10. As per claim 17, Lynn teaches a document processing system wherein the set of document processing information is selected from the database based upon a degree of similarity between a document format associated with the set of document processing information and a

Art Unit: 3621

document image entered through an image input means (see paragraphs 0022, 0023, 0024, 0026, 0027, 0028, 0030, 0046, 0047, 0048, 0059).

- 11. As per claim 18, Lynn teaches a document processing system wherein the system notifies the document provider the newly-defined set of document processing information so as to be included in the database or to be embedded in other document by the document provider (see paragraphs 0022, 0023, 0024, 0026, 0027, 0028, 0030, 0046, 0047, 0048, 0059).
- 12. As per claim 19, Lynn teaches a document processing system comprising a document processing charge billing means for differentiating charges billed to the document issuer according to whether document processing information is stored on the (see paragraphs 0022, 0023, 0024, 0026, 0027, 0028, 0030, 0046, 0047, 0048, 0059).
- 13. As per claim 20, Lynn teaches a document generating software product, comprising: a communication module for enabling a prospective document user wishing to have a document made to notify a document generator of requirements regarding a desired document layout and a desired document handling procedure; a document layout making module for making a document layout according to the requirements from said prospective document user; a document candidate presenting module for presenting to the prospective document user document candidates made by the document layout making module; a document selecting module for letting the prospective document user select a document out of the document candidates presented by the document candidate presenting module, a document processing

Application/Control Number: 10/014,412

Art Unit: 3621

information determining module for determining document processing information including a form or format information of the selected document candidate or the desired hardcopy document handling procedure a storing means module for selecting a storing means, encoding the document processing information and for storing the encoded document processing information in the storing means and a document processor for printing on or embedding the storing means on the hardcopy document and for executing the desired hardcopy document handling procedure in connection with the hardcopy document wherein said hardcopy document handling procedure includes identifying document and identifying document format (see paragraphs 0022, 0023, 0024, 0026, 0027, 0028, 0030, 0046, 0047, 0048, 0059. Lynn fails to teach an invention of determining whether a format of hardcopy document is available in a database thereby executing another document handling procedure on the hardcopy document. However, Nitahara teaches an invention of determining whether a format of hardcopy document is available in a database thereby executing another document handling procedure on the hardcopy document (see fig 5, col. 6 line 40 - 7 line 20). Therefore, it would be obvious to one to ordinary skills in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Lynn's invention to include Nitahara's invention of determining whether a format of hardcopy document is available in a database thereby executing another document handling procedure on the hardcopy document because this may be virtually organized by means of a database that associates file identifiers with predefined subjects and predefined relational attributes.

Page 6

Art Unit: 3621

Response to Arguments

14. Applicant's arguments filed August 10th, 2006 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant amended the claim to add executing another document handling a. procedure on the hardcopy document. Applicant argues that the prior arts taken alone or in combination fails to teach a concept wherein executing another document handling procedure on the hardcopy document. Examiner respectfully disagrees with Applicant's characterization of the prior art. Nitahara teach an information mart logical index is used by the source data acquisition facility and the source data processing system to produce content files under the control of a file creation application. In the simplest example of operation, it may have been determined that a file resident in one of the enterprise's data storage facilities (for example, a scanned version of a hard copy document made available on a web page) inherently conveys information that is desired to be made available through the information mart, and so the information mart can simply provide direct access to the file through communication with the appropriate server. Thus the source file is used as the content file without further processing. Accordingly, the physical address the source file is mapped to the content file identifier in the logical index, a null processing rule (direct access with no processing) is associated with the content file, and no processing is required to create the information mart file. In the more common case, it is desirable to present a file that is created through processing of source data contained in one or more databases.

Art Unit: 3621

Conclusion

15. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to FIRMIN BACKER whose telephone number is 571-272-6703. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Thursday 9:00 AM - 5:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Andrew J. Fischer can be reached on (571) 272-6779. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 3621

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

FIRMIN BACKER Primary Examiner Art Unit 3621

October 10, 2006